

EXPRO National Manual for Projects Management

Volume 4, chapter 4

Prequalification of Tenderers Procedure

Document No. EPM-KD0-PR-000003 Rev 003



Document Submittal History:

Revision:	Date:	Reason For Issue
000	31/10/2017	For Use
001	09/01/2018	For Use
002	22/07/2019	For Use
003	08/11/2021	For Use



THIS NOTICE MUST ACCOMPANY EVERY COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IMPORTANT NOTICE

This document, ("Document") is the exclusive property of the Government Expenditure and Projects Efficiency Authority.

This Document should be read in its entirety including the terms of this Important Notice. The government entities may disclose this Document or extracts of this Document to their respective consultants and/or contractors, provided that such disclosure includes this Important Notice.

Any use or reliance on this Document, or extracts thereof, by any party, including government entities and their respective consultants and/or contractors, is at that third party's sole risk and responsibility. Government Expenditure and Projects Efficiency Authority, to the maximum extent permitted by law, disclaim all liability (including for losses or damages of whatsoever nature claimed on whatsoever basis including negligence or otherwise) to any third party howsoever arising with respect to or in connection with the use of this Document including any liability caused by negligent acts or omissions.

This Document and its contents are valid only for the conditions reported in it and as of the date of this Document.



Table of Contents

1.0)	PURPOSE	5
2.0		SCOPE	
3.0		DEFINITIONS	
4.0		REFERENCES	
5 .0		ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES	
6.0		PROCESS	
6	5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	Identification of Prospective Candidates Selected Bidding / Sole Source Candidates Prequalification Questionnaire Evaluation Criteria Prequalification Period Evaluation of Candidate Submissions Preparation of Tenderers List Approval of Potential Tenderers List	3 3 99
7.0)	ATTACHMENTS	10
F F	Atta Atta Atta	achment 1 - EPM-KD0-TP-000005 - Request to Advertise for Prequalification Template	12 14 39



1.0 PURPOSE

To ensure that 2nd Parties that express an interest in tendering for specific Works or Services with the required minimum Classification Class have the minimum experience and capability both financially and technically to execute the required Works or Services up to the required standards specified for the Project.

This procedure applies to works performed under all Government construction projects executed throughout the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Entity conducting the activities outlined within this procedure, is responsible to implement the process under Government Procurement and Regulation Law as applies.

2.0 SCOPE

This procedure applies to Contract activities conducted under the administration and management of the Contracts Management Department.

3.0 DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
2nd Party	Contractor, Consultant, Engineer or Supplier who expresses interest in prequalifying for a specific package of Works or Services and upon Award becomes the entity contracted to perform such Works or Services
Agreement	Contract or Purchase Order (collectively "Agreement") between the Entity and a 2nd Party
Construction Contractor	The Main or Principal Contractor responsible for undertaking the Construction Works on the Project.
Entity	Government Entity, Authority or Ministry responsible for the Project(s)
ITT	Invitation to Tender documents. Also referred as Request for Proposal (RFP)
Law	Government Tenders and Procurement Law issued pursuant to Royal Decree No. (M/128) dated 13/11/1440 AH and the Implementing Regulations thereof issued pursuant to the Minister of Finance's Resolution No. (1242) dated 21/3/1441 AH and as amended thereafter.
Etimad	A unified electronic portal for procurement processes administered by Ministry of Finance
MOF	Ministry of Finance
Project	Project to be undertaken by an Entity that consists of single or multiple Agreements with 2nd Parties to perform Works or Services as part of the execution plan to deliver such a Project
Requisition	Requisition for Tendering
Services	Provision of technical or consulting services for design, studies, investigations (geotechnical, surveying testing), specialist advice or the like that are not the Works but necessary to support them
Site Construction Department	Department within the Project Management Company that is responsible for Construction activities/operations.
Contracts Management Department	Department within the Entity Project Management Organization (EPMO) or at corporate level authorized to conduct contracts formation and administration activities.



Site Document Control	Department within the Project Management Company that is responsible for the control of all project Documents using ECMS.
Site Engineering Department	Department within the Project Management Company that is responsible for Engineering or design activities/operations.
Tenderer	A 2nd Party that has prequalified for bidding a specific package for Works or Services, sometimes referred to as a Tenderer
TSR	Tender Status Report
Works	Construction or supply of goods, facilities or the like of permanent and temporary nature as contracted by the Entity

4.0 REFERENCES

Article twenty (20) of the Law, and Article fifteen (15) of the Implementing Regulation

5.0 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

The Contracts Management Department remains accountable for the overall implementation of the Prequalification Process to maintain required standards for the ethical and fair treatment of all potential 2nd Parties in prequalification.

The Entity shall conduct the prequalification according to the forms issued by the MoF and via Etimad. If applicable, the Entity may tailor special criteria reflecting the required services to be tendered, in such case, the following defines the individual roles and responsibilities associated with the Prequalification Process:

Individual	Role
Construction Manager	Provide the technical criteria for the prequalification for construction contracts (or the Construction portion of a Design & Build Contract)
Contracts Management Department Manager	Responsible for monitoring compliance with this Process and maintaining ethical procurement standards throughout the prequalification process
Contracts Specialist	Develop the base structure of the prequalification questionnaire for a Works or Services package and populate it by obtaining input from all participating departments relative to the specific package. Responsible for ensuring the completeness of the Prequalification Questionnaire, its issuance, and the management of the Prequalification process through to completion.
Engineering Management Department Manager	Provide the technical criteria for prequalification for Engineering or Design Contracts (or the Engineering / Design portion of a Design & Build Contract).
Health, Safety, Security & Environmental (HSS&E) Manager	Provide the HSS&E prequalification requirements.
Originator	Provide preliminary information to Contracts Specialist necessary for classification of the Works or Services and solicitation of Expressions of Interest.



Project Controls Manager	Provide budget and schedule information and supports the commercial prequalification process
Quality Manager	Provide the quality requirements for the prequalification of a package.
Prequalification Committee (And its assigned members "evaluators")	Evaluate of the prequalification submittals by the 2nd Parties with representation of the relevant discipline to make recommendations for selection or rejection of 2nd Parties to participate in the Tender Process.

Department Managers may delegate their responsibilities to assigned members of their respective departments provided such delegation is notified to the Contracts Management Departments and Contracts Specialist.

6.0 PROCESS

The Contracts Management Department is responsible to ensure that the following activities relative to prequalification of Tenderers and establishing Tenderers lists is adhered to:

6.1 Identification of Prospective Candidates

Originator shall provide to the assigned Contracts Specialist an overview of the proposed scope of works, indicative quantities, man-hours and budget information of the Works or Services applicable to the Requisition to determine of the required minimum Classification Class.

The Contracts Specialist shall prepare Public Notice / Advertisement for solicitation of interest from 2nd Parties to prepared by the Originator using the Request to Advertise for Prequalification Template (Attachment 1) or through Etimad if the Entity utilizing the platform. The Notice / Advertisement shall include the following and be advertised for a minimum duration of three (3) weeks:

- Synopsis of the scope of the planned Works or Services
- Minimum Classification Class
- Closing Date for Prequalification Submissions
- Planned Notification of Decision on Prequalification Process
- Contact Persons

2nd Parties confirming their interest in prequalifying, must meet the requirements for Classified and Unclassified Works below, provided they are not on any boycott list:

1. QUALIFICATION FOR CLASSIFIED WORKS

Expressions of Interest is based on classification; as such, the possession of a valid applicable classification certificate is the only requirement.

2. QUALIFICATION FOR UNCLASSIFIED WORKS

Qualification for unclassified works, such as technical or specialty requirements, is based on end user requirement and applicable Law.

When applicable, the Contracts Specialist shall compile lists of all 2nd Parties that have expressed an interest in prequalifying for the Work or Services and ensure that prospective 2nd Party is not on the boycotted list of Companies or has had consistent poor performance on past projects that warrants their exclusion.



Appropriate contact information (including the name of the Contact Person) for each listed 2nd Party to receive the prequalification shall be included.

6.2 Selected Bidding / Sole Source Candidates

The Originating Department of the Requisition may request a single 2nd Party to submit a prequalification on the basis of a sole/single source.

The selection of a Sole/Single Source Candidate (Article 44 from Law) must be clearly justified and documented and only upon advance approval of the Tender Examination Committee will they be automatically prequalified.

Refer to Procurement Law and Implementation for Sole Source if the entity under Government Regulations.

6.3 Prequalification Questionnaire

The Contracts Specialist shall prepare the Prequalification Questionnaire according to the template issued by the MoF with the selection of appropriate class. However, if possible, Entity may utilize the Template (Attachment 3) amended in accordance to the requirements of the Works or Services and provide it to the Engineering, Construction, Quality and HSS&E Departments who shall provide technical input into the questionnaire and criteria for evaluation. Entity shall prepare questionnaire as per Law and if possible, entity may add additional requirement covering the following:

- 1. Minimum anticipated requirement for technical staff, discipline engineers etc.
- 2. Anticipated number of staff in various categories (manual and non-manual)
- 3. Minimum levels of construction equipment, plant and the like
- 4. Minimum levels of experience in similar Works and locations with the Entity or other Entities
- 5. Current and future workload
- 6. Quality Management Systems
- 7. Health & Safety History
- 8. Classification Class

Each Questionnaire shall include both questions of technical and commercial nature that enable the Prequalification Committee to evaluate the submissions suitability, or otherwise, to undertake the work described in the Requisition and shall clearly state the date for submission of the Prequalification Questionnaire from the 2nd Parties.

When applicable, using MOF template for prequalification if the entity under Government Law. Prequalification templates shall be available and submit through Etimad.

6.4 Evaluation Criteria

It is fundamental that the Evaluation Criteria mirror the contents of the Pregualification Questionnaire.

It is mandatory that the Prequalification Evaluation Criteria be agreed and established prior to issuance of the Questionnaire to the 2nd Parties along the weight of each criteria. A mandatory "PASS/FAIL" for certain sections (e.g. Health & Safety, Company Licenses, Boycotted) may be established, in which case a "FAIL" in any one mandated category would exclude the 2nd Party from being pregualified.

These criteria will form the sole basis for the evaluation of the pregualification submissions.



The Contracts Specialist shall then circulate the prequalification submittals to the Prequalification Committee along with the pre-established evaluation criteria.

The Prequalification Committee will be formed with evaluators from applicable departments to perform the evaluation against the established criteria.

6.5 Prequalification Period

The Contracts Specialist shall issue the Prequalification Questionnaire to 2nd Parties that expressed their interest in participating either in selective bidding basis or public tendering, outlining the requirements for the quality of the submission and the date for return of the completed Questionnaire.

The duration for the Prequalification period shall be agreed to with the Contracts Management Department Manager and will be determined based on the Project Schedule and the Tender Status Report (TSR) requirements while considering the scope complexity of the Works or Services.

All submissions shall be received by the Contracts Specialist and recorded as to the 2nd Party's Submission Date and Completeness of the Response. Poor Quality Submissions may be excluded from consideration if it is clear that sufficient responses of an acceptable quality have been received to provide an adequate pool of potential Tenderers to result in a competitive tender process when bidding the Works or Services.

6.6 Evaluation of Candidate Submissions

- 1. The Contracts Specialist shall convene an Evaluation "Kick-Off" Meeting with the evaluators as appointed by the Prequalification Committee.
- 2. Each evaluator of the Kick-Off meeting shall be provided with a copy of the submissions and the approved criteria upon which to assess the validity of the submissions. The evaluation responsibilities for each of the Evaluation Team Members shall be clearly identified in the Evaluation Scoring Criteria Matrix.
- 3. If a noticeable difference is observed between the collated scores, then a meeting will be called by the Contracts Specialist to challenge the evaluators regarding the noted deviations and attempt to narrow the scoring gaps. An average shall be obtained across the individual scores to arrive at the final evaluation rating.
- 4. The Prequalification Committee shall submit list of approvals using the Recommended Tenderers List for Approval Template (Attachment 2).
- 5. The final results of the Prequalification Submissions shall be formally documented by completing the EPM-KD0-TP-000055 Prequalification Evaluation Scoring Criteria Template (Attachment 4) or through Etimad if the entity under Government Law and Regulations.

6.7 Preparation of Tenderers List

The Prequalification Committee shall summarize the final evaluation scores and prepare the Recommended Tenderers List for approval, including all successful candidates and unsuccessful candidates using the Recommended Tenderers List for Approval Template (Attachment 2) or any other appropriate forms.

The Prequalification Committee shall review and approved the Tenderer's List considering the date specified in the TSR and, in any case, prior to the planned date for release of the Invitation to Tender (ITT) Documents.



6.8 Approval of Potential Tenderers List

The Approved Potential Tenderers List shall be filed by the Contracts Specialist utilizing the appropriate Document Management System.

All successful 2nd Parties will be notified officially by letter of their Prequalification and provided with information pertaining to the date and time for the collection of their Tender Documents.

All unsuccessful 2nd Parties shall be notified in writing that they were unsuccessful in prequalifying for the applicable work package and shall be granted the opportunity for feedback by the Director of Contracts Management Department or his designee regarding the reasons for their failure to prequalify.

7.0 ATTACHMENTS

Government Entity shall use template and forms as per the applicable Law and issued by MOF, and the following templates/forms are indicatives for use if applicable.

- 1. EPM-KD0-TP-000005 Request to Advertise for Prequalification Template
- 2. EPM-KD0-TP-000007 Recommended Tenderers List for Approval Template
- 3. EPM-KD0-TP-000008 Pregualification Questionnaire Template
- 4. EPM-KD0-TP-000055 Prequalification Evaluation Scoring Criteria Template
- 5. Process Map Prequalification Process Of Prospective Tenderers



Attachment 1 - EPM-KD0-TP-000005 - Request to Advertise for Prequalification Template

[INSERT PROJECT NAME] Request to Advertise for Prequalification

Please prepare an advertisement for the following prequalification package:

Agreement No.:	[INSERT NUMBER]
Description:	[INSERT TITLE]
Scope of Works / Services	[INSERT BRIEF SCOPE OF WORKS / SERVICES]
	This advertisement is for interest Companies who wish to express an interest in prequalification for the above future Tender for the works described herein
Classification:	[INSERT CLASSIFICATION]
Prequalification Issue Date	[INSERT DATE]
Prequalification Submission Date:	[INSERT DATE]
Selection of Tenderers	[INSERT PATE]

Please note that the dates set forth above are tentative. The prequalification documents are currently being routed for departmental signatures.

This is advance notice to prepare the Advertisement for publication.

The advertisement is not to be placed until approval from the Director of the Contracts Management Department is received — CONTRACT SPECIALIST to confirm approval.

Signed by Contracts Management Department

[INSERT NAME]

Contracts Specialist

Not applicable if the entity under Government Procurement Law and Regulations. Entity shall use Etimad



Attachment 2 - EPM-KD0-TP-000007 - Recommended Tenderers List for Approval Template

[INSERT PROJECT NAME] RECOMMENDED TENDERER'S LIST FOR APPROVAL

*** CONFIDENTIAL*** DATE PREPARED: XX-MMM-YYYY ITT ISSUE DATE: Classification: [Enter Classification] XX-MMM-YYYY [INSERT CONTRACT NUMBER] CONTRACT NUMBER: CONTRACT TITLE: [INSERT TITLE] BRIEF SCOPE: [INSERT BRIEF SCOPE] BUDGET: SAR [INSERT VALUE] The following Companies have satisfied the requirements of the Pregualification Questionnaire and meet the minimum requirements for prequalification as defined in the evaluation criteria for the above Contract Package and are recommended to be invited to formally tender for this Contract: **Address** No. **Company Name** Comments 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. [INSERT TITLE] PREPARED BY [INSERT NAME] POSITION **RECOMMENDED AND APPROVED BY: Department** Signature **Title Date Prequalification Committee** Chairman Director **Contracts Management** Others as Necessary

Comments / Additional Notes:

Project Management



COMPANIES CONSIDERED BUT NOT INCLUDED

The following Companies expressed an interest in prequalification and were omitted, or did not successfully prequalify for selection in the Recommended Tenderers List for reasons stated below:

- 1. Failed to meet minimum classification limits to prequalify
- 2. Failed to Prequalify due to not meeting the minimum acceptable score
- 3. Failed to submit a prequalification questionnaire having previous expressed an Interest in Prequalification
- 4. Company is currently "boycotted"
- 5. Declined due to capacity and capability
- 6. Declined due to scope of works outside of Companies core competency
- 7. Other-See comment

Tenderer	Address	Reason	Comment



Attachment 3 - EPM-KD0-TP-000008 - Prequalification Questionnaire Template

Prequalification Questionnaire

[INSERT MINISTRY / ENTITY NAME] [Insert Project Name] [Insert Package Number]

Preparer Note: This Questionnaire can be used for the prequalification of Designers/Engineers, Contractors and Design & Build Contractors by selecting the appropriate sections as needed to cover the scope of Services or Works for a Package.

Contracts Specialist shall review the scope and define the requirements within this Questionnaire that are applicable and solicit department feedback on their relevant sections (Construction, Finance, Engineering, Health & Safety, and Quality).

The Evaluation Criteria shall be set against each section to determine an objective basis for the selection or non-selection of a Company.

Once drafted this Preparer Note shall be deleted from the Document before issuance of the Prequalification Questionnaire.

Not applicable if the entity under Government Procurement Law and Regulations. Entity shall use MOF Prequalification template.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INSTRUCTIONS PERTAINING TO PREQUALIFICATION	15
SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION	19
SECTION 2: FINANCIAL INFORMATION	20
SECTION 3: ORGANIZATION CHART	22
SECTION 4: CERTIFICATIONS & LICENSES	27
SECTION 5: HEALTH & SAFETY QUESTIONNAIRE	23
SECTION 6: WORKERS WELFARE FACILITIES	25
SECTION 7: RESOURCES (MANUAL / NON-MANUAL LABOR)	25
SECTION 8: EXPERIENCE STATEMENT	
SECTION 9: SUBCONTRACTING AND SUPPLY CHAIN	29
SECTION 10: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND PLANT	31
SECTION 11: MANUFACTURING / FABRICATION / PRODUCTION CAPACITY	
SECTION 12: ENGINEERING & DESIGN CAPABILITIES AND EXECUTION	
SECTION 13: BIM DESIGN CAPABILITY & EXPERIENCE	



Pre-qualification Questionnaire

Project Management Organization Services for [INSERT MINISTRY / ENTITY NAME]

[Insert Package Number]

<u>Preparer Note:</u> This Questionnaire can be used for the pre-qualification of **Project Management Companies.**

A Contracts Specialist shall review the scope and define the requirements within this Questionnaire that are applicable and solicit department feedback on their relevant sections (Design / Construction, Finance, Engineering, Health & Safety, and Quality).

The Evaluation Criteria shall be set against each section to determine an objective basis for the selection or non-selection of a Company.

Once drafted this Preparer Note shall be deleted from the Document before issuance of the Questionnaire.



INSTRUCTIONS PERTAINING TO PREQUALIFICATION

1. Overview of Package

The Entity has advertised for expressions of interest from Companies for the future Tender of a Contract for [Insert description] with a planned Award Date of [INSERT DATE] and Duration of [INSERT NO] months from Award.

The Company as part of its expression of interest has confirmed by its actions it maintains a valid Classification in the minimum categories required to receive this Prequalification Questionnaire.

2. <u>Definitions</u>

The following definitions are used and have the meanings defined herein:

Company	Means Entity that will prepare and submit its Submission in relation to this Prequalification Questionnaire following its expression of interest to the Entity in response to the Advertisement	
Entity	Means the Government Ministry, its entities or other official office that has issued this Prequalification Questionnaire to a Company	
Submission	Means the Company response to this Prequalification Questionnaire	
Tenderer	A 2nd Party that has prequalitied for bidding a specific package for Works or Services, sometimes referred to as a Tenderer	
Tender	Means the future invitation to Tender that will be issued to the Tenderers under a separate selected tender process	

3. Preparation of Responses

Responses to this Prequalification Questionnaire ("Submission") shall be submitted in hard copy and electronic using the forms included herein. Failure to comply with these requirements may be cause for rejection of the Submission.

Partial or incomplete Submissions will not be considered and Submissions shall be in strict conformity with the Prequalification Questionnaire and any addenda.

Any Submission received after the due date and time stated in the Submission Invitation Letter may not be accepted by the Entity.

4. Language of Submissions

All submissions shall be submitted in [Arabic / English and Arabic] languages. Arabic will always be the primary language and will prevail any other language for interpretation and execution of the Contract and its specifications. All communications between the Entity and the Company shall utilize the same language.

5. Company Entities

Each Submission shall show the full legal name and business address of the Company, including its street address if it differs from its mailing address, and shall be signed with the usual signature of the person or persons authorized by the Company, and shall be dated.

The name of the signatory shall be typed or otherwise clearly imprinted below each signature and corporate Company's shall state their place of incorporation. When requested, satisfactory evidence of the authority of any signature on behalf of the Company shall be furnished.



Submissions by joint ventures, consortia, associations or partnerships shall designate one single participant to represent all those forming the entity. Submissions shall be signed by a duly authorized representative of the entity and evidence of the signatory's authority signed by and listing the full names and addresses of all participants in the entity shall be attached to the Company's Submission Letter.

6. Examination of Prequalification Questionnaire

Company planning to submit a Submission is responsible for examining with appropriate care the complete Prequalification Questionnaire. Should the Company find discrepancies in or require clarifications in relation to the Prequalification Questionnaire, or should their intent or meaning appear unclear or ambiguous, or should any other question arise relative to the Prequalification Questionnaire, the Company shall promptly notify Entity in writing.

The Company making such request will be solely responsible for its timely receipt by Entity. Replies to such notices may be made in the form of supplementary correspondence or, as appropriate, an addendum to the Prequalification Questionnaire which will be issued simultaneously to all persons who have obtained the Prequalification Questionnaire from Entity.

Entity may, by addendum, modify any provision or part of the Prequalification Questionnaire at any time prior to the submission date.

7. Company Right to Withdraw its Submission

A Company may modify or withdraw its Submission by written request, provided that the request is received by Entity prior to the due date at the address to which Submissions were to be submitted. Provided further, that in case of an electronic request (i.e. facsimile, telex, etc.) a written confirmation thereof over the authorized signature of the Company be received by Entity at the address to which original Submissions were to be submitted within three (3) calendar days after issue of the electronic message.

The Company shall state the reasons for its withdraw and accept that as a consequence of its withdraw it will forego any rights implied or otherwise that it relies upon to participate in the future Tender of the Works to which this Prequalification Questionnaire applies.

8. Submission Security

The Entity confirms that the Company is not required to furnish any Bond or Guarantee as part of the Pregualification Submission.

9. Cost of Preparing the Submission

The preparation of a Submission shall be by and at the expense of the Company.

The Entity will not be liable for any costs incurred in either the preparation of the Submission or post receipt of the Submission of any costs incurred by the Company in attending meetings or providing further clarifications as may be requested by the Entity of the Company.

10. Confidentiality and Intellectual Property

A Company must not, without the prior written consent of Entity, disclose or provide to any person, other than Entity, Entity's employees and agents and persons engaged in the preparation of the Company's Submission, any particulars concerning its Submission or any other information with which it has been provided by or on behalf of Entity in relation to this Prequalification Questionnaire, the Submission or the Project.

A Company must identify any part of its Submission which contains information:



- a) in respect of which intellectual property rights (including, without limitation, patents, registered designs and copyright) are claimed by the Company, whether for itself or on behalf of any other person, entity or consortium;
- or in respect of which the Company expects confidentiality to be maintained by Entity such as information in the nature of know-how or commercial-in-confidence information.

An unreasonable claim by Company that all or a substantial part of a Submission is the subject of intellectual property rights or is confidential may result in the Submission being considered nonconforming and this may result in the Company not being considered for selection.

No claim by a Company that specific information is the subject of intellectual property rights or should be kept confidential by Entity shall, of itself, be conclusive or binding on Entity.

Entity may use information provided by a Company as necessary for the Submission Process (including, without limitation, for assessment and evaluation purposes), irrespective of any claim of intellectual property rights and/or confidentiality.

11. <u>Prequalification Timeline</u>

The timeline for the prequalification and selection of prequalified Tenderers is outlined below:

ACTIVITY	DATE
Prequalification Questionnaire Issued by Entity	
Last Date for Clarifications / Questions from Company	
Submission Closing Date	
Evaluation Completed	
Notification to Companies of Selection	
Planned Date for Release of the future Tender	

12. No Contract or Automatic Right to Tender

By submitting a Submission, the Company acknowledges that:

- a) the Submission does not create a contract between the Company and Entity or impose any other obligations at law upon Entity whether as a result of the Submission Process or otherwise in respect of the Submission or the evaluation of the Submission by Entity; and
- the Submission does not create an automatic right to prequalify for the future Tender; and
- c) Entity will not be under any obligation to act in any particular manner or refrain from acting in any particular manner in evaluating the Submission.

13. Receipt of Submissions

The Company shall ensure that its Submission is received by the Entity by 4pm on the Submission Closing Date listed in the Prequalification TimeLine by the Authorized Representative for the Entity listed below:



Name:	
Position / Title	
Phone Number	
Email Address	
Physical Address	

14. Opening of Submissions

Company acknowledges that the Entity will not be opening the Submissions in a public forum.

The Entity will only confirm and acknowledge receipt of the Submission only upon delivery by the Company.

15. Government Entity's Rights

Entity reserves the right in its absolute discretion and without limiting any other right which Entity may have whether under this Invitation or otherwise, to do any one or more of the following at any time without giving reasons:

- a) reject or refuse to consider or evaluate any Submission or all Submissions;
- b) amend this Prequalification Questionnaire;
- c) withdraw this Prequalification;
- d) terminate the Submission Process;
- e) re-advertise for new Submissions:
- f) request additional fragment from any Company; and
- g) alter or vary any process or procedure regarding the consideration or the evaluation of any Submission or all Submissions

Entity may also give consideration to and may exclude parties on the basis of their performance or the performance of related parties on recent contracts with Entity or other government bodies. To assist with this process, Entity may make reference to performance reports prepared either by Entity or other government agencies.

Entity may take into account the relationship between Company and their constituent members and the existence of related companies and common directors. Should a Company (or a member of the Company) be a related company of another Company (or a member of the other Company), or should common directors be identified across Company (or members of Company), Entity may require the Company to enter into specific undertakings to address any resultant probity issues as a condition of this Invitation.

16. Notification of Selection of Company to Tender

After consideration of Submissions, The Entity will select those Companies whose Submissions clearly demonstrates the Company has the financial and technical capability, experience and resources to execute the Works subject of the Tender that form the basis of this Prequalification Process.

Those Companies that are selected by the Entity will be notified in writing, those that are not selected may request feedback from the Entity on the reasons for non-selection.

The final number of selected Companies that the Entity will invited to Tender shall be a maximum number of six Tenderers.



The right is reserved, as the interest of Entity may require, to reject any or all Submissions and to waive any informality in Submissions received.

17. Company Return of Pregualification Documents

Upon receiving confirmation of the Companies success in Pregualification or notification of its failure to Prequalify, the Company shall destroy all documents issued by the Entity.

Removal or Addition of Companies following Initial Selection by the Entity 18.

The Entity may remove or replace a selected Company from the future Tender if:

- a) a selected Company previously notified by the Entity as being selected to Tender for the Contract, subsequently withdraws itself from tendering for the Tender by formal notice to the Entity, or
- b) a selected Company, subsequently to being invited to prequalify is boycotted in accordance with Article 78 of the Government Tenders and Procurement Law (Royal Decree No. M/58 dated 4 Ramadan 1427H / 27 September 2006). The Company shall be notified and the previous selection withdrawn by the Entity.

In either of the above situations, the Entity has the right to invite the next most qualified Company that was not initially selected as part of this Prequalification to participate in the Tender to maintain a competitive tender process.

If insufficient Companies remain following the removal of a previously selected Company(s) the Entity may at its discretion cancel the Prequalification.

19. Declaration on Behalf of Company:

Company shall include the below declaration in its Submission:

I the undersigned representative declare that the in	
and true and reflects the current information availab	le.
The Company by submission of this Submission	
sole discretion the suitability of Company for prequa contained in the Submission and in accordance	with these Instructions to Companies for
Prequalification and failure to complete all section excluded from evaluation.	ns may result in Company Submission being
SIGNATURE	TITLE
NAME	DATE

Section 1: General Information

Company shall complete the following general company information:

INFORMATION REQUESTED	COMPANY RESPONSE
Full Name of Company:	
Registered Office Address:	



Date of Registration:			
KSA Registration No.			
KSA CLASSIFICATION CLASS:			
STATE MIN VALUE FOR BIDDING:			
STATE MAX VALUE FOR BIDDING:			
International Registration No.			
PUBLIC / PRIVATE COMPANY			
NOMINATED REPRESENTATIVE	Name:		
	Phone:		
	Email:		
COMPANY STRUCTURE (confirm	Corporation:	☐ YES	S□ NO
Structure)	Subsidiary	☐ YES	S□ NO
	Division	☐ YES	S□ NO
	Joint Venture / Consortium	☐ YES	S□ NO
PARENT COMPANY DETAILS	Parent Company Name:		
(If Applicable)	Address:		
	Contact Person:		
	Phone No:		
TYPE OF BUSINESS (Confirm	Civil Construction	☐ YES☐ NO	%
scopes of works and percentage of turnover for each type)	Roads & Highways	☐ YES☐ NO	%
,,,,,	Manufacturing / Fabrication	YES NO	%
	Buildings	☐ YES☐ NO	%
	Mechanical Electrical	☐ YES☐ NO	%
	Engineering Design	☐ YES☐ NO	%
	Consulting	☐ YES☐ NO	%
	Other	☐ YES☐ NO	%
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	Total Employees		
	Management		
	Non-Manuals		
	Manuals		
IQTAR RATING (SAUDIZATION)	State Level		



Section 2: Financial Information

Company to complete required information and provide the last three (3) years of audited Annual Financial Statements, if Company plans on Prequalification on basis of a Joint Venture, Consortium or Partnership, then each of the individual entities associated shall complete the table below:

INFORMATION REQUESTED	COMPANY RESPONSE			
PRESENT NET WORTH	SAR			
ANNUAL SALES VOLUME (related	2017	SAR		
to classification for this package)	2016	SAR		
	2015	SAR		
BANKING REFERENCE	Name			
	Position			
	Phone Num	nber		
PERFORMANCE BOND	Can you ful Performand "YES" or "N	e Bond (State	☐ YES ☐ NO
	State Maxir Available	mum Valu	е	
	Surety Prov	vider		
	Contact Person Contact Phone Number			
			ber	>
BANK GUARANTEE / LETTER OF CREDIT	Can you furnish a Guarantee / Letter of Credit		Credit	YES NO
	State Maxir Available	num Valu	e	
	Name of Ba	ank		
	Contact Person			
	Contact Phone Number		ber	
PROVIDE LAST THREE (3)	2016			☐ YES☐ NO ☐ Not Available
YEARS OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT. (Provide copies with this submission)	2015			☐ YES☐ NO ☐ Not Available
	2014			☐ YES☐ NO ☐ Not Available
	If Not Availa Reason	able State)	



Section 3: Organization Chart

Company to provide the following documents relative to the company and project structures and attach to its submission:

- 1. Current company Organization Chart (for Company registering for this Package)
- 2. Sample of a typical Project Organization (of similar scope and size to this Package)

Company to provide the following names and resumes of Key Personnel that would have executive accountability and oversight for this Project:

KEY PERSONNEL	COMPANY RESPONSE
DIRECTOR (SPONSOR)	
REGIONAL MANAGER (if applicable)	
QUALITY MANAGER	
HEALTH & SAFETY MANAGER	
CONSTRUCTION MANAGER	
ENGINEERING DESIGN MANAGER	

Resumes are to be attached to this submission for prequalification

Section 4: Certifications & Licenses

Company to complete below relative to its licenses and certifications, eopies are to be provided as part of the submission:

INFORMATION REQUESTED	COMPANY RESPONSE
INDUSTRY ACCREDITATIONS	ASME
	APN
	TEMA
	Other
ISO CERTIFICATIONS	ISO:9001
	ISO:18001
	ISO:14001
PROFESSIONAL LICENSES	LICENSE NO
	LICENSE
	EXPIRY
	LICENSE NO
	LICENSE
	EXPIRY
	LICENSE NO
	LICENSE

Document No.: EPM-KD0-PR-000003 Rev 003 | Level - 3-E - External



EXPIRY	
LICENSE NO	
LICENSE	
EXPIRY	

Section 5: Health & Safety Questionnaire

Part A - Incident History

Please complete the number of incidents, days lost and hours worked for the current year and the previous 3 years

Category	Current Year	2016	2015	2014
FATALITIES				
LOST WORKDAY CASE				
DAYS LOST (ADD 220 DAYS FOR A FATALITY)	MIII			
RESTRICTED WORKDAY	700			
MEDICAL TREATMENT ONLY				
FIRST AID TREATMENT ONLY				
EQUIPMENT DAMAGE				
NEAR MISS				
ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE				
HOURS WORKED				

HAVE THERE BEEN ANY WORK-	
RELATED FATALITIES IN THE LAST 5	☐ YES☐ NO (if yes please attach details)
YEARS?	

Briefly list the last 5 Classified Incidents (lost workday/restricted workday case) and the action taken

Incident	Actions Taken

Part B - HSS&E Program

QUESTION	Yes	No
Do you have a written Health, Security, Safety and Environment program?		

Document No.: EPM-KD0-PR-000003 Rev 003 | Level - 3-E - External

Page 25 of 54



Does your HSE program contain details of:	
Responsibilities and accountabilities?	
HSSE policy?	
Risk management systems?	
New employee orientation/induction?	
 Training? (including current matrix and qualifications) 	
 Communications? eg toolbox, safety meetings 	
HSSE rules?	
Behavior based safety?	
 Inspections? eg workplace, emergency equipment 	
 HSSE professional support? eg HSE Advisor/Representative 	
Fitness for work?	
Emergency response?	
Incident reporting?	
Incident investigation?	
 Industrial hygiene? eg air contaminant exposures, sampling and monitoring 	
Personal protective equipment	
Equipment management2	
Record keeping?	
Lower Tier (Subcontractor / Supplier) management?	
Substance Abuse Program	
Environmental Program	

Company shall attach to its submission a sample copy of a typical Health & Safety Plan.

List three client references that could verify the quality and management of your HSSE programme.

Company	Contact Name	Address	Phone



Section 6: Workers Welfare Facilities

Company shall provide details in relation to its management of manual workforce, by providing answers to the following:

QUESTION	COMPANY RESPONSE
Provide address and size of non-	
manual workers camp accommodations available	
Provide approximate manning levels	Lucian Washers
per room by grade of manual worker	Junior Workers
Farmer and Branch and Branch and Branch	Senior Workers
Describe recreational facilities	
available in the camps	
Provide specifications and standards	
of workers transportation services	
Describe any other facilities available in the camps for workers	
Provide standard working hours for	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
workers	
Provide list of standard personnel	
protection clothing and like for	
workers	
Identify any other conditions or like	
that are provided to workers living in	
the camps that demonstrates a level	
of consideration of workers welfare	
(i.e. medical services)	
Describe how different nationalities	
requirements are accommodated in	
regards to catering, and	
accommodations	



Section 7: Resources (Manual / Non-Manual Labor)
Company shall provide details of current resources employed in works of similar scope and nature to this package and, where possible, identify last three year's data

RESOURCES	C	OMPANY RESPONSE	
NON MANUAL	2017	2016	2015
SITE SUPERVISORS			
FOREMAN / LEADING HANDS			
MECHANICAL SUPERVISORS			
ELECTRICAL SUPERVISORS			
SURVEYORS			
QUANTITY SURVEYORS			
COMMERCIAL MANAGERS			
CONTRACT MANAGERS			
PROCUREMENT			
QUALITY MANAGERS			
HEALTH & SAFETY MANAGERS			
OTHERS (Add as necessary)			
	~ MM/		
	10/11/2		
MANUAL			
CONCRETE LABORERS			
REINFORCING FIXERS			
CARPENTERS			
STRUCTURAL STEEL ERECTORS			
WELDERS			
ELECTRICIANS			
PLUMBERS			
DRAIN LAYERS			
MECHANICAL INSTALLERS			
PAINTERS			



RESOU	IRCES	C	OMPANY RESPONSE	
SCAFFOLDERS				
ROOFERS				
EQUIPMENT (HEAVY)	OPERATORS			
EQUIPMENT (LIGHT)	OPERATORS	-1		
OTHERS (Add as	necessary)			
TOTAL WORKFO	RCE			



Section 8: Experience Statement

The Company submits the following statement as to its experience qualifications with focus on work in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

- 1. If stated in the cover letter, provide only experience in work similar in type and magnitude to the identified Work Scope.
- 2. All awarded contracts have been satisfactorily completed, except as follows (Name any and all exceptions and reasons therefore, attaching additional pages if necessary):
- 3. The following contracts are currently in progress or have been satisfactorily completed within the last three years or the period specified in the cover letter.
- 4. If you have not worked in the country specified in the cover letter within the period outlined in 3 above, add a separate page listing any work ever performed in that country.
- 5. Column Completion Notes:
 - a. Name and Address. For past Government Work, please provide Ministry and Ministry Contract

Number.

b. Work Description. Describe work scape and then indicate if principle (prime) contractor or as

a subcontractor and city of works (i.e. Riyadh, Jeddah etc).

c. Start/Stop. Provide starting date and actual/forecast completion by mo/yr, e.g., Jan 16/Sep18.

d. <u>Schedule and Budget.</u> State either "over", "on", or "under" the contract schedule and budget.

Item No.	Customer name, address, representative and phone no.	Work Description	Value	Start/Stop	Schedule
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					



Section 9: Subcontracting and Supply Chain

Company shall provide its responses to the below questions relative to the selection and nomination of key Subcontractors or Suppliers when executing Projects. The answers to the following questions shall not exceed four (4) pages of A4 paper.

	QUESTION TO COMPANY	COMPANY RESPONSE
3.	Company to explain its process and procedure for the identification, prequalification, evaluation and selection of Subcontractors or Suppliers:	
4.	Company to explain how it manages the Subcontractors and Suppliers during execution of the Works to maintain schedule and quality of the Works being performed by the Subcontractor or Supplier	
5.	Company to explain its process and procedure for the quality inspections, assurance, delivery, storage and distribution of materials and equipment by Subcontractors or Suppliers	
6.	Company to explain how they ensure Subcontractors or Suppliers are contracted on terms and conditions aligned with the Main Contract and the management of such obligations for works performed by the Subcontractor or Supplier	

Company shall list in the table below the typical scopes of work or supply that are subcontracted to lower tier companies in execution of works of a similar scope or complexity who will furnish major components, equipment, materials, and/or perform elements of the Works – the completion of the table and submission is in addition to the four (4) page limit:

No.	INFORMATION REQUIRED	COMPANY RESPONSE
1	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
3	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
4	Scope of Works or Supply	



No.	INFORMATION REQUIRED	COMPANY RESPONSE
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
5	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
6	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
7	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subsontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	
8	Scope of Works or Supply	
	Basis of Selection of Subcontractors or Supplier	
	Terms of Subcontract or Supply	

NOTES:

Basis of Selection: Enter if selected based on prequalification, preferred supplier, sole source or competitive tender

Terms of Subcontract/Supply: Enter Typical Commercial model (Cost Reimbursable, Lump Sum, Unit Price, etc.)



Section 10: Construction Equipment and Plant

The Company submits the following statement as to its capabilities in relation to owned and leased equipment resources to demonstrate his capacity to undertake the works:

Column Completion Notes:

1. Equipment Type: Common Name – (i.e. 50t Mobile Crane).

2. <u>Model & Make</u>: Equipment Manufacturers name and Model Number.

3. Quantity: Number of Equipment Owned or Leased.

4. Year of Make: State Year of Equipment Make.

5. Owned / Leased: State ownership details of equipment either Owned or Leased

Item No.	Equipment Type	Model & Make	Quantity	Year of Make	Owned / Leased
1					
2					
3		<			
4					
5					
6					
7					
8))U			
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					



Section 11: Manufacturing / Fabrication / Production Capacity

If Company typically outsources the fabrication of key components, please list below the supplier or subcontractor that has been used by the Company previously to perform such works and its capability:

Column Completion Notes:

1. Commodity: Common Name – (i.e. Rolled Steel Sections).

2. Manufacturing Location: Where are the facilities located and Subcontractors / Supplier name if

not self-performed by the Company

3. Monthly Capacity: What is the monthly capacity.

4. <u>Current Capacity</u>: Current workload as a percentage of monthly capacity
 5. <u>Forward Capacity</u>: Future workload as a percentage in 6 -12 months' time

6. <u>Date Available</u>: Next available production slot

7. Space: Quantity Available in next production slot

Item No.	Commodity	Manufacturing Plant Location	Monthly Capacity (Qty)	Current Capacity (%)	Forward Capacity (+6 mths)	Date available
1						
2			7			
3						
4						
5						
6						
7) <	0) 4				
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						



Section 12: Engineering & Design Capabilities and Execution

Please provide details below of how Company would undertake the performance of the design and associated capability, if "NONE", please state "NONE" below

Column Completion Notes:

- 1. <u>Location</u>: State Office Location (City, Country) and if more than one office state number (i.e. London, UK (2 Offices))
- 2. Provider: State if design services provided by either
 - a. "In-house" (full time employees)
 - b. "Subsidiary" Company Subsidiary of the Company (i.e. Subsidiary [XYZ Limited])
 - c. "2nd Party" existing subcontract or agreement with a 2nd party designer and state that company name (I.e. "2nd Party [XYZ Limited]
- 3. <u>Main Discipline:</u> State the main discipline of design works performed (i.e. Rail Systems, Rail Civil, Ports, Civil Engineering or if a multidiscipline office state "multidiscipline")
- 4. Resources: List the approximate full time equivalents for main categories for each provider.

Item	Location of	Location of	lacation of	Main		Resources	3
No.	Design Office	Provider	Discipline	Designers	CAD / Techs	Management	
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							



Section 13: BIM Design Capability & Experience

 $\frac{Part\ A-Organizational\ Structure}{Please\ complete\ table\ below\ for\ key\ personnel\ and\ organization\ details\ (note\ the\ BIM\ representative\ personnel\ perso$ should be the focal point for any clarifications in response to this questionnaire):

Company BIM Representative:	
Telephone Number	
Mobile Number	
E Mail Address	
Website URL	

BIM Team Information

BIM Team Information				
Team Member	Na	ame	Telephone	Email
Project Leader		7/11/20		
Information Manager	1	J		
IT Manager				
CAD Manager				
Other (Company may specify below additional key positions and individuals)				



<u>Part B – Documented Management System Information</u> Please complete below questions as it relates to BIM Capability and Management Systems:

Ref.	Question	Contractors Response
MSQ1	Does your organization have a reference a standard it uses to produce your CAD/BIM models?	
MSQ2	Would your organization adopt project specific CAD/BIM standards that deviate from your organizations standards?	
MSQ3	Does your organization have documented procedures that describes the issue and revision of drawings?	
MSQ4	Does your organization have a documented procedure that describes the numbering systems for your drawings and CAD models?	
MSQ5	Does your organization have a documented policy regarding control of emails?	
MSQ6	Has your organization got any timitations placed on it by PI insurers in regard to your ability to exchange electronic into mation?	
MSQ7	Does your organization have a documented policy in place regarding limiting internet usage?	
MSQ8	Does your organization have experience with web-based project tools such as extranets or web enabled document management systems?	
MSQ9	What is you preferred collaboration or webenabled document management system?	
MSQ10	Do you have a documented procedure describing your archiving system?	
MSQ11	Does your organization have a documented procedure describing your data security systems and arrangements?	
MSQ12	Does your Firewall place limitations on incoming and outgoing electronic communications?	
MSQ13	Do you have a documented procedure that describes how you determine the training of your CAD/BIM personnel is effective and current?	



Part C — Other Information Company may provide any other relevant information below that they feel is pertinent to describe or be	etter
ualify their BIM capabilities:	

305

Prequalification of Tenderers Procedure

Attachment 4 - EPM-KD0-TP-000055 - Prequalification Evaluation Scoring Criteria Template

Prequalification Evaluation Scoring Criteria Template

For [Insert Entity Name]

[Insert Package Number]

Preparer Note: These Instructions to evaluators shall be used to document the evaluation team, criteria and scoring to ensure that the evaluation process is formalized and carried out ethically. Once drafted this Preparer Note shall be deleted from the document before issuance of the scoring criteria.

The evaluation team, weighting percentages and attached evaluation criteria are documented herein and establish the basis for selection of the firms that will be invited to propose on the upcoming RFP for PMC services.

Approval			
NAME	TKTLEKROSITION	SIGNATURE	DATE



Contract Number:	[INSERT CONTRACT NUMBER]

Contract Title: [INSERT CONTRACT NAME]

Evaluation Team Representation	* MEMBER NAME
Evaluation Team Leader	
Contracts & Procurement	
Engineering	
Construction	
Project Controls	
Environmental	
Health & Safety	
Quality	
[Other]	

ITEM NO.	Prequal Form	Wgt %	FORM TITLE				
1	Section 1	5	General Information				
2	Section 2	PASS / FAIL	Financial Information				
3	Section 3	10	Organization Charts and Key Personnel				
4	Section 4	PASS / FAIL	Certifications and Licences / Quailty				
5	Section 5	PASS / FAIL	Health, Safety, Security and Environmental (HSSE)				
7	Section 6	25	Resources (World-wide)				
8	Section 7	20	Experience Statement				
9	Section 8	10	Subconsultants				
10	Section 9	10	Resourcing Strategy				
11	Section 10	10	Automation and Data Management Capability and Experience				
12	Section 11	10	Document Management, Records Retention and Enterprice Content Management System (ECMS)				
TOTAL		100					

NAME	TITLE/POSITION	SIGNATURE



PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION REVIEW PLAN

Contract No.: [INSERT CONTRACT NUMBER] Team review

Contract Title: [INSERT CONTRACT NAME]

			ASSIGNMENT OF EVALUTION TEAM MEMBERS TO TENDER FORMS							
Item Number	Form Letter	Form Title	Assigned (1)	Assigned (2)	Assigned (3)	Assigned (4)				
1	Section 1	General Information	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS				
2	Section 2	Financial Information	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS				
3	Section 3	Organization Charts and Key Personnel	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES				
4	Section 4	Certifications and Licences / Quailty	QUALITY	QUALITY	QUALITY	QUALITY				
5	Section 5	Health, Safety, Security and Environmental (HSSE)	SAFETY	SAFETY	SAFETY	SAFETY				
6	Section 6	Resources (World-wide)	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES				
7	Section 7	Experience Statement	PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION				
8	Section 8	Subconsultants	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS				
9	Section 9	Resourcing Strategy	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES	ALL DISCIPLINES				
10	Section 10	Automation and Data Management Capability and Experience	ENGINEERING	ENGINEERING	ENGINEERING	ENGINEERING				
11	Section 11	Document Management, Records Retention and Enterprice Content Management System (ECMS)	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT				



Summary of Prequalification Evaluation

Contract No. [INSERT CONTRACT NUMBER]
Contract Title: [INSERT CONTRACT NAME]

			Tenderer													
No.	Prequal Form	Maximum Weight 0-10	FORM TITLE	Key Criteria	[TENDERER NAME No 1]	[TENDERER NAME No 2]	[TENDERER NAME No 3]	[TENDERER NAME No 4]	[TENDERER NAME No 5]	[TENDERER NAME No 6]	[TENDERER NAME No 7]	[TENDERER NAME No 8]	[TENDERER NAME No 9]	[TENDERER NAME No 10]	[TENDERER NAME No 11]	[TENDERER NAME No 12]
1	Section 1	5	General Information		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	Section 2	PASS / FAIL	Financial Information	K	PASS	PASS	PASS									
3	Section 3	10	Organization Charts and Key Personnel		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
4			Certifications and Licences / Quailty	K	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL									
5	Section 5	PASS / FAIL	Health, Safety, Security and Environmental (HSSE)	K	PASS	PASS	PASS									
7	Section 6	25	Resources (World-wide)		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
8	Section 7	20	Experience Statement		20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
9	Section 8	10	Subconsultants		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
10	Section 9	10	Resourcing Strategy		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11	Section 10	10	Automation and Data Management Capability and Experience		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
12	Section 11	10	Document Management, Records Retention and Enterprice Content Management System (ECMS)		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
		100	Scale Factor		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
SUMMARY TOTAL SCORE				95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	
KEY CRITERIA PASS				#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	
	MINIMUM THRESHOLD FOR TECHNICAL ACCEPTANCE				60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
			OVERALL RESULT		#REF!	#REF!	#REF!									

INDIVIDUAL RATING SCALE FOR EVALUATION FORMS (COMPLEX)

- 5 Significantly exceeds requirements
- 4 Exceeds requirements
- 3 Meets requirements
- 2 Meets most expectations
- Below expectations
- 0 No response or unacceptable response

EVALUATION SCALE (SIMPLE)

- 3 Meets requirements
- 2 Meets most expectations
- 1 Below expectations
- No or unacceptable response

Notes:

- 1 Refer to individual evaluation sheets for scoring method for each criterion
- 2 If any cell in evaluation forms turns red, check that score allocated is not greater than maximum allowable score



Evaluation Form Form Title Section 1 General Information

EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NA	ME]	[TEND	ERER NAME No 1]	[TENDI	ERER NAME No 2]	[TENDI	ERER NAME No 3]	[TENDERER NAME No 4] [TEND		[TENDERER NAME No 5]					
Weight Factor =	Ę	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS /COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS		Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis
CRITERIA															
Has the Tenderer provided sufficient deta accordance with Section 1?	ail in	5		5		5		5		5			5	50	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
Does information provided indicate the co capabilities to perform this role?	ompany's	5		5		5		5		5			5	50	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)		500		500		500		500		500		0	500	100	
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score /100)	e x weighting	100		100		100		100		100					
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Wei	ght Factor)	5		5		5		5		5				0	



Evaluation Form	Section 2
Form Title	Financial Information

EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NAME]	[TENI	DERER NAME No 1]
Weight Factor = PASS / FAIL	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS
CRITERIA		
Has the Tenderer provided sufficient detail in accordance with Section 2?	3	
Does the information provided indicate capability to perform this role?	3	
Is the Tenderer able to obtain a Performance Bond?	3	
Is the Tenderer able to obtain a Parent Company Guarantee?	3	
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)	300	
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	100	
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight Factor)	PASS	

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis
3	20	Meet requirements - Score 3; Meets most requirement - Score 2; Below Expectation - Score 1; No response or unacceptable response - Score 0
3	20	Meet requirements - Score 3; Meets most requirement - Score 2; Below Expectation - Score 1; No response or unacceptable response - Score 0
3	30	Scores 3 if Tenderer is able to provide Performance Bond with no exceptions. Score 0 if Tenderer is not able to obtain a Performance Bond
3	30	Scores 3 if Tenderer is able to provide Parent Company Guarantee with no exceptions. Score 0 if Tenderer is not planning to submit a Parent Company Guarantee
300	100	



Form Title Organization Charts and Key Personnel	
EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NAME] [TENDERER NAME No 1] [TENDERER NAME No 2] [TENDERER NAME No 3] [TENDERER NAME No 4]	[TENDERER NAME No 5]
Weight Factor = 10 SCORE CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS SCORE	SCORE CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS
CRITERIA	
Has Tenderer provided an organization chart showing key personnel, including numbers, titles and categories of home office and field personnel. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5
2. Do the staff numbers appear sufficient to perform this contract? (score individually)	
KSA Country Manager 13 13 13 13	13
Strategic Planning and Studies Director 13 13 13 13	13
Operations Director 13 13 13 13	13
Engineering Department Director 13 13 13 13	13
Contracts Department Director 13 13 13 13	13
Project Controls Department Director 13 13 13 13	13
Training Manager 13 13 13 13	13
Construction Management 13 13 13 13 13	13
Construction Manager 13 13 13 13	13
Information (Document and Records) Management and ECMS Director 13 13 13 13	13
3. Has Tenderer provided the Resumes in format required for each position and is each position and is each position and is each position (clearly shown in the Project 5 5 5 5 Crganisation Chart with lines of reporting	5
TOTAL SCORE (Sum) 1220 1220 1220 1220	1220
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score x weighting /100) 100 100 100 WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight Factor) 10 10 10	100
l l l v l	

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis				F	or Each Tende	rer			
5	5	Score 5 if tenderer has supplied chart showing all key personnel, including number of personnel, titles, categories, work location and personnel are all named. Score 4 if tenderer has supplied chart showing all key personnel, including number of personnel, titles, categories, work location and 50% of all personnel are all named. Score 3 if tenderer has supplied chart showing all key personnel, including number of personnel, titles, categories, work location and all key personnel are all named. If 3, 4, or 5 are not met deduct (from 3) 1 point for every category of information missing. Minimum score is 0.	Overall Experience Score	Qualifying Relevant Degree	Arabic Speaking	Regional Experience	Saudi Experience	Project / Sector Experience	>=5 years with Company	Similar Position Experience	Public Entity Experience
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years.									
13	10	Scores 0 if experience is <15 years. Scores 3 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 2 if experience is 25 to 15 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 15 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 15 years. Scores 0 if experience is -15 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 0 if experience is -15 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is -15 years.									
13	5	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is -15 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 26 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is -15 years.									
13	10	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 1 if experience is -15 years.									
13	5	Scores 5 if experience is 35+ years. Scores 4 if experience is 30 to 34 years. Scores 3 if experience is 25 to 29 years. Scores 2 if experience is 20 to 24 years. Scores 1 if experience is 15 to 19 years. Scores 0 if experience is -15 years.									
5	5	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0		All of these crite	eria will be score	ed as have =1, d	loes not have =0	. Only Blue cells	need be compl	eted.	



Evaluation Form Section 4 Form Title Certifications and Licences	/ Quailty]											
EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NAME]	[TEN	IDERER NAME No 1]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 2]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 3]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 4]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 5]			
Weight Factor = PASS / FAIL	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	Max Score	Weig ng	ghti g Evaluation Basis
CRITERIA													A minimum scoring of 60 is required for passing criteria
Does the Company have any industry accreditations?											5	10	Company has three industry accreditation = 5; 0 Company has two industry accreditation = 3; Company has one industry accreditation = 1; Company has no industry accreditation = 0
Does the Company have ISO Certification 9001 (Quality Management System)?											5	65	Company has a valid ISO 9001 certificate (Revision 2015) = 5; Company has an expired ISO 9001 certificate (Revision 2015) Company has ISO 9001 certificate (Revision 2008) = 3; Company has no ISO Certification = 0
3. Does the Company have Professional licenses?											5	10	Company has three professional licenses = 5; Company has two professional licenses = 2; Compay has one professional license = 1;
4. Does the Company have any professional engineering design registration/license obtained in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?											5	5	Company has certification obtained in KSA = 5; Company does not have any certifications obtained in KSA = 0
5. Does the Company have ISO 14001 Certification?											5	10	Company has a valid ISO 14001 certificate = 5; Company has an expired ISO 14001 certificate = 3; Company has no ISO Certification = 0
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)	0		0		0		0		0		500	100	00
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	0		0		0		0		0		'		
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight Factor)	FAIL		FAIL		FAIL		FAIL		FAIL				



Evaluation Form Section 5										
Form Title Health, Safety, Security	and Environmen	ti e								
EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NAME]	[TEN	IDERER NAME No 1]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 2]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 3]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 4]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 5]
Weight Factor = PASS / FAIL	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS
CRITERIA										
A. How do you evaluate the Tenderer's performance indict the past three years?	ators in 5		5		5		5		5	
B. Does the Tenderer have a proactive risk management program? Does the provided information indicate proced manage and assess risk?	ure to 5		5		5		5		5	
C. Does the Tenderer have a contractor HSSE managem program? Does the HSSE management program include screening, selection and monitoring of subconsultant and contractors?	ent 5		5		5		5		5	
D. Does the Tenderer have an HSSE policy? Has the Ten provided a list of HSSE procedures? Is the procedure list comprehensive?	derer 5		5		5		5		5	
E. Has the Tenderer received any citations by any governor organization? Has the Tenderer received any awards?	nent 5		5		5		5		5	
F. Does the Tenderers have HSSE training program? Do HSSE program have an orientation process/procedure for onboarding?	es the 5		5		5		5		5	
G. Has the Tenderer obtained HSSE certificates from rec and credited institutes such as ISO and OHSAS	ognized 5		5		5		5		5	
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)	500		500		500		500		500	
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score x weighting /	100) 100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0	
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight Factor)	PASS		PASS		PASS		PASS		PASS	

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis	Crieteria highlights:
		If Tenderer average score is less than 60 or any score is	•
5	15	28fo that availuation shall be a PAIL. Below industry states any, with discreasing trend-5 Below industry rates any, with discreasing trend-5 Below industry rates any, with increasing trend-2 Higher than industry rates 25% any discreasing pred-2 Higher than industry rates 25% any discreasing pred-2 Significantly indigher than industry average 50% with increasing trend-2 Significantly indigher than industry average 50% with increasing trend or not dailsely.	Industry Issus. Performance indicators as published by local and international buddles mainly, GOS, GOS and EO
5	15	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No rescores or unacceptable response scores 0	Expectations: A written program outlining inspection guidelines, responsibilities, frequency and follow in effect
5	20	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No rescores or unacceptable rescores 0	Expectations (address that contractors are evaluated on HIS performance, as part of the selection process, the identifies contract anext Machinest requirements, a program to manage contractor HIS performance exists with evidence of implementation.
5	20	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No rescores or unacceptable resconse scores 0	Expectations: a written policy signed the most serior person in the organization exist, the list of procedure mosts Mashraat standards outlined in the white book
5	10	No citation, either locally nor international= 5 Minor international citation, no local citation= 4 Moderate international citation, no rolocal citation= 3 Single local imoderate citation or multiple minor citations= 2 Single major local citation or multiple internor citations= 2 Single major local citation or multiple local citations with moderate nature= 1 Multiple major local or international citations, or no response= 0	As detailed in the scoring
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0	Expectations: His training is given for specialized operations, but no routine training conducted. All employees receive orientation and a printed booklet.
5	10	Have active two certificates scores 5 Active single certification scores 3 Expired certification scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0	Expectations: HSEMP exists, it meets: Maximost minimum standards, and evidence of implementation exists. HSE OHSAS certification is a + and ISO 14001 is a +
500	100	The response or unacceptable response Scottes of	



	Section 6 Resources (World-wide)												
EVALUATED BY: Weight Factor =	[INSERT NAME]		DERER NAME No 1] CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	[TENDERER NAME No 2] SCORE CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS		DERER NAME No 3] CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS		DERER NAME No 4] CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS		DERER NAME No 5] CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS	Max Score		Evaluation Basis
CRITERIA Part A. 1. Has Tenderer list	ted worldwide professional resource		COMMENTS			COMMENTS		COMMENTS		COMMENTS	Score		Score 3 if all data provided.
data for the last 3 years? Part A. 2. Do the staff numb	pers appear sufficient to perform this	3		3	3		3		3			3 4	Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 0 if data not provided
contract? (score below invi-	Project Managers	5		5	5		5		5			s s	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1
	Urban Planners	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1
	Urban Designers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	No response or unacceptable response scores 1 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1
	Transportation Engineers	5		5	5		5		5				No response or unacceptable response scores 2 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 3
	Financial Analysts	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 4
	Economists	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below oxpectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Civil Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			, ,	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Structural Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Mechanical Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Utility/Hydraulic Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 1
	Electrical Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations cores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 2
	Low Voltage Engineers	5		5	5		5		5				Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Architects	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Bolow expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	CAD Designers	5		5	5		5		5				Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Stakeholder Interface Specialists	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Contract Administrators	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Bolow expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Cost Engineers	5		5	5		5		5				Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Scheduling Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Estimating Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
	Quality Control Specialists	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significant
	Risk Analysts	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly expedits requirements scores 5
	Training Managers	5		5	5		5		5			3 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Bolow expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
	Continuous Improvement Managers	5		5	5		5		5			,	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significant
	Document Management Specialists/Controllers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significant
	HSSE Officers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
	Testing & Commissioning Managers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
	Construction Managers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
	Field Engineers	5		5	5		5		5			5 3	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
his Kingdom of Saudi Arab	led the Ministry of Labor's records for ia professional resources for the past akdown by disciplines and positions?	3		3	3		3		3			3 10	Score 3 if all data provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 0 if data not provided
	AL SCORE (Sum) RE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	472 100		472 100	472 100		472 100		472 100		472	100	
WEIGHTED SCO	RE (Average x Weight Factor)	25.0		25.0	25.0		25.0		25.0				
IN EDM	-KD0-PR-000003 Re			and the second s				ge 48 of 54					



Evaluation Form	Section 7
Form Title	Experience Statement

EVALUATED BY: [INSERT NAME]		[TENI	DERER NAME No 1]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 2]	[TENI	DERER NAME No 3]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 4]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 5]
Weight Factor =	20	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS								
CRITERIA											
PART A. Has Tenderer provided responses to all of form?	uestions on	5		5		5		5		5	
PART B. 1. Has Tenderer worked with national gov the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia) on programs or proje significance? Is the type of program/project, its scale and comple scope of work and the program/project period desc	ects of national exity, tenderer's	5		5		5		5		5	
PART B. 2. Has Tenderer worked with national gov (outside the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia) on program national significance? Is the type of program/project, its scale and comple scope of work and the program/project period desc	s or projects of exity, tenderer's	5		5		5		5		5	
PARTB. 3. Does Tenderer have experience in the and management of infrastructure (utilities or faciliti plans? is the portfolio/s developed, the client, project durat approximate value of the portfolio/s described?	ies) portfolio	5		5		5		5		5	
PART B. 4. Does Tenderer have experience in the and delivery of large-scale (regional/sub regional, reity scale), integrated, multi-sciplinary planning / umanagement plans? Is the project/s name, client, tenderer's scope of wo period and contract value described?	netropolitan or urban growth	5		5		5		5		5	
PARTE. 5. Has Tendere lead and managed large (regional/sub regional, metropolitan or city scale) in programs / projects, from initial concept planning as through detailed engineering design, to testing 8 cr and handover? Is the project name, client, tendere's scope of work period and contract value described?	nfrastructure nd feasibility, ommissioning	5		5		5		5		5	
Has the Tenderer submitted 3 statements as to its qualifications with a focus on work on national gove programs and large infrastructure projects, and in projects within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?	mment	3		3		3		3		3	
Based on current workload identified in this section listed in Section 7, does it appear that tenderer will resources to execute this project?		3		3		3		3		3	
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)		410		410		410		410		410	
PERCENTAGE SCORE (Sum of score x weig	ghting /100)	100		100		100		100		100	
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight F	Factor)	20.0		20.0		20.0		20.0		20.0	

Score Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5	Max	Weighting	Evaluation Basis
5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 2 if it is clear that sufficient rescurces with several scores 4 If all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work.	Score		
5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 2 if it is clear that sufficient rescurces with several scores 4 If all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all rescurces are committed on on-going work.			
Meets requirements scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 6 Meets requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 8 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 6 Exceeds requirements scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 6 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 6 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Exceeds requirements scores 7 In response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if t complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if to complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if to complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if a great case are committed on one-ging work. Core 0 if if a great case are committed on one-ging work			Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. If all resources are committed on on-oping work. Core 0 if if appease are committed on on-oping work. Core 0 if if appease are committed on on-oping work.	5	5	Exceeds requirements scores 4
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 6 Exceeds requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 8 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if it s clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 2 if resources score committed on on-quirent workdad Deduct 1 point for every year shown with			
Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 1 Nor response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 1 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 1 Nor response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Meets requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 8 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets req			
Below expectations scores 2 Below sepectations scores 3 Below sepectations scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 3 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided.			
Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets medit scores 3 Meets medit scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets medit scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets medit scores 3 Meets medit scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 2 if it is clear that sufficient rescurces will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appease are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appease are committed on on-going work.	5	10	
No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3			
Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if 3 it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if if appease are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if if appease are committed on on-going work.			
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unsceeptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 The scores of 1 on response or unacceptable response scores 0 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Exceeds requirements scores 4 The scores of 1 on response scores 1 The score 1 on response or unacceptable response scores 0 Exceeds requirements scores 3 The score 1 on response scores 1 The score 1 on response or unacceptable response scores 1 The score 1 on response or unacceptable res			
Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 6 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 6 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 9 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 9 Significarity			
Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations cores 3 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 6 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 9 Meets requirements scores 9 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 6 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 7 Meets requirements scores 9 Significantly exceeds			
Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appears that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit	5	10	
No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significartly exceds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significartly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significartly exceeds requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significartly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 3 if 1 is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-quiring work. Core 0 if if appeass that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 2 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it all resources are committed on on-going work.			
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significarity exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if a complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if a peace are committed on on-quire work. Core 0 if if all resources are committed on on-quire work. Core 0 if if appease are committed on on-quire work.			
Meets requirements scores 3			
Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations cores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
No response or unacceptable response scores 0	5	10	Meets most expectations scores 2
Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 2 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if if appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			Below expectations scores 1
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			No response or unacceptable response scores 0
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 3 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no event year and the sheets provided of core 1 if a complete or relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no event year sheet with the same provided of the sheet			
Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if if all pessures are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if if appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 compiler, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 compiler, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if if a compiler sufficient resources will be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-qoing work. Core 0 if if appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workdad Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit	5	10	
No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if it a clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-qoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 0 if it all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 0 if it all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
5 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if in complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Meets most expectations scores 2 Below sepctations scores 2 Below sepctations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. 3 30 Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on ongoing work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit	5	10	
Score 3 if 3 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if its clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workdad Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			Below expectations scores 1
Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			No response or unacceptable response scores 0
Score 2 if 2 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Score 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources book likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
3 Soore 1 if 1 complete, relevant data sheets provided. Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Core 0 if no complete, relevant data sheets provided. Deduct 1 point for every year 3 nown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workdad Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff. Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available. Score 2 if resources botk likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit	3	30	
Score 3 if it is clear that sufficient resources will be available Score 2 if resources bolk likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Score 2 If resources look likely to be available. Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			Deduct 1 point for every year shown without staff.
Score 1 if all resources are committed on on-going work. Core 0 if alpheas that benderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
Core 0 if it appeas that tenderer is overcommitted on current workload Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit	3	15	
Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit			
410 100 Score 0 if data not provided			Deduct 1 point for every year shown wit
	410	100	Score 0 if data not provided



	Section 8		4								
orm Title	Subconsultants		1								
EVALUATED BY:	[INSERT NAME]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 1]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 2]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 3]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 4]	[TEN	DERER NAME No 5]
Weight Factor =	10	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS								
RITERIA											
ubcontractors demonstrate	requalification and selection of es good practice and provide nd commercial considerations are	5		5		5		5		5	
ubcontractors and supplie	ess for the management of rs during the works demonstrated a of the requirements and obligations?	5		5		5		5		5	
	ated how it flows down to the rs the requirements of the Contract and ligations	5		5		5		5		5	
				5		5		5		5	
				5		5		5		5	
TOTA	AL SCORE (Sum)	500		500		500		500		500	
PERCENTAGE SCOR	RE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	100		100		100		100		100	
WEIGHTED SCO	RE (Average x Weight Factor)	10.0		10.0		10.0		10.0		10.0	

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis
		Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
		Exceeds requirements scores 4
5	35	Meets requirements scores 3
3	35	Meets most expectations scores 2
		Below expectations scores 1
		No response or unacceptable response scores 0
		Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
		Exceeds requirements scores 4
5	35	Meets requirements scores 3
3	35	Meets most expectations scores 2
		Below expectations scores 1
		No response or unacceptable response scores 0
		Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5
		Exceeds requirements scores 4
5	30	Meets requirements scores 3
5	30	Meets most expectations scores 2
		Below expectations scores 1
		No response or unacceptable response scores 0
500	100	



Evaluation Form	Section 9
Form Title	Resourcing Strategy

EVALUATED BY:	[INSERT NAME]	[TENI	DERER NAME No 1]
Weight Factor =	10	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS
CRITERIA			
	uested details on the resources the se of the project management services, cal standards) and associated scope of	5	
Does it appear from the information ar that it will be able to provide sufficient	nd description provided by the company resources to manage the Works?	5	
TOTAL SCORE (Sum)		500	
PERCENTAGE SCORE (S	Sum of score x weighting /100)	100	
WEIGHTED SCORE (Average x Weight Factor)	10.0	

Max Score	Weighting	Experience Scoring Criteria
5	50	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
5	50	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0
500	100	



Evaluation Form	Section 10
	Automation and Data Management
Form Title	Capability and Experience

EVALUATED BY:	[INSERT NAME]	[TENI	DERER NAME No 1]
Weight Factor =	10	SCORE	CLARIFICATIONS / COMMENTS
CRITERIA			
	lied responses to questionaire on strucure and the team information?	5	
	organization have a reference GIS/BIM, document, data models?	5	
	s organization adopt project specific their organization's standards?	5	
	organization have documented ne issue and revision of drawings?	5	
	organization have a documented le numbering systems for drawings and	5	
Part B. 5. What are Tender enabled automation and da	er's preferred collaboration or web- ta management systems?	5	
	ave a documented procedure that ine whether the training of project ive and current?	5	
experience in the use of au on large infrastructure proje Have they Included any pro and/or managing the use o	urmation on Company's past tomation and data management tools cts or at city, regional or national levels. gram amanagement roles monitoring a dutomation and data management program and integrating input by others nd data management tool.	5	
тоти	AL SCORE (Sum)	500	
PERCENTAGE SCOR	RE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	100	
WEIGHTED SCO	RE (Average x Weight Factor)	10.0	

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis		
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0		
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0		
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Relow expectations scores 1		
5	10	Below expectations scores 1 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3		
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1		
5 10		No response or unacceptable response scores 0 Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1		
5	10	Notes that the second s		
5	30	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0		
500	100			



Evaluation Form Form Title	Section 11 Document Management, Recor	ds Retentio] n and Enterprice Conte	ent Manageme	nt System (I	ECMS)
EVALUATED BY:	<u> </u>		DERER NAME No 1]		,	,
Weight Factor =	-	-	CLARIFICATIONS /	Max Score	Weighting	
CRITERIA						
	key personnel details related for the nagement, records retention and ECMS?	5		5	10	Significa Exceeds Meets re Meets n Below e No resp
	an ECMS or any other electronic e and store documentation?	5		5	30	Significa Exceed: Meets re Meets n Below e No resp
	ons indicate that Tenderer has mature Document Management, Records ementation?	5		5	60	Significa Exceeds Meets re Meets n Below e No resp
тот	AL SCORE (Sum)	500		500	100	
PERCENTAGE SCO	RE (Sum of score x weighting /100)	100				
WEIGHTED SC	ORE (Average x Weight Factor)	10.0				

Max Score	Weighting	Evaluation Basis	
5	10	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0	
5	30	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 1	
5	60	Significantly exceeds requirements scores 5 Exceeds requirements scores 4 Meets requirements scores 3 Meets most expectations scores 2 Below expectations scores 1 No response or unacceptable response scores 0	
500	100		



Attachment 5 - Process Map – Prequalification Process of Prospective Tenderers

Prequalification Process of Prospective Tenderers

